

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/147,428	12/22/98	SHIOTA		Υ	2839-0065-3-
		IM22/1229	٦		EXAMINER
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT				CINTIN	3, I
1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FOURTH FLOOR ARLINGTON VA				1724	3
			•	DATE MAILED	: 12/29/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

09

Application No. **09/147,428**

Applicant(s)

Shiota et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Ivars C. Cintins

Group Art Unit 1724

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	
shortened statutory period for response to this action is sellonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failupplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exte 7 CFR 1.136(a).	et to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever ure to respond within the period for response will cause the ensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
isposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	
X Claim(s) 1-4 and 15-17	
☐ Claim(s) 5-14 and 18-20	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
	<u></u>
Application PapersSee the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	wing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ioio
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	r.
	,
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
X received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial	Number)
received in this national stage application from	the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
attachment(s)	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape	r No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	2040
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTC	J-948
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

Serial Number: 09/147,428

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 5-14 and 18-20 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim may not serve as a basis for another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, these claims have not been further treated on the merits.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller (U.S. Patent No. 3,554,377). The reference discloses an apparatus comprising a packed bed of solid adsorbent (i.e. cation exchange resin bed 32), a water permeable "pressure layer" (bed 34) located above the packed bed, and a layer (30) for "dispersing and mitigating" an upward stream of water (see Fig. 3); and this is all that is required by claims 1, 2 and 15. Also, this reference device includes a partition (36) between the packed bed (32) and the pressure layer (34); and this is all that is further required by claim 3.

Serial Number: 09/147,428 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller. The reference discloses the claimed invention with the exception of the void percentage of the pressure layer (claim 4) and the dispersing and mitigating layer (claim 17), and the height of this dispersing and mitigating layer (claim 16). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ beds having the recited porosity and height in the system of Miller, in order to provide a desired degree of liquid purification in this reference system. Applicant should note that Miller clearly teaches (see col. 3, line 45) that the material in each bed during backwashing can be "quite loose".

Wachsmuth (U.S. Patent No. 4,233,158) and Werfelman (U.S. Patent No. 4,260,426) show similar liquid purification systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins

Page 4

Serial Number: 09/147,428

Art Unit: 1724

whose telephone number is (703) 308-3840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

The fax phone numbers for this art unit are: (703) 305-3599 for "Official" faxes after Final Rejection; (703) 305-7718 for all other "Official" faxes; and (703) 305-3602 for "Draft" and other "Unofficial" faxes.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins
December 18, 1999